arXiv:1109.3305v1 [math.FA] 15 Sep 2011

ON UPPER ESTIMATES FOR APPROXIMATION NUMBERS OF A LAPLACE TYPE TRANSFORMATION

ELENA P. USHAKOVA

Abstract. We deal with a real valued integral operator $\mathscr{L}$ of Laplace transformation type acting between Lebesgue spaces on the semi-axis. Sufficient conditions for belonging $\mathscr{L}$ to Schatten type classes are obtained. Some upper asymptotic estimates for the approximation numbers of $\mathscr{L}$ are also given.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Given an operator T between arbitrary (quasi-)Banach spaces X and Y

$$a_n(T)=\inf\{\|T-K\|_{X\to Y}\colon K:X\to Y,\ \operatorname{rank}K<n\}$$

is called the n–th approximation number of T. In a case of Hilbert spaces these numbers coincide with singular values of T. Compact operators $T: X\to Y$ satisfying

$$\|T\|_{\mathbf{S}_\alpha}=\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^\alpha(T)\right)^{1/\alpha}<\infty,\qquad 0<\alpha<\infty,\tag{1.1}$$

constitute Schatten-von Neumann classes $\mathbf{S}_\alpha$. Symbol $\mathbf{S}_{\alpha,\mathrm{weak}}$ stands for weak Schatten-von Neumann classes consisting of all operators T such that

$$\|T\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha,\mathrm{weak}}}=\sup_{t>0}\bigl(t(\sharp\{n\ge 1\colon a_n(T)>t\})^{1/\alpha}\bigr)<\infty,\qquad 0<\alpha<\infty.$$

Schatten-Lorentz classes $\mathbf{S}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\alpha,\infty}$ are defined by

$$\mathbf{S}_{\alpha,\beta}=\left\{T\colon \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n^\beta(T)\,n^{\beta/\alpha-1}<\infty\right\}\qquad 0<\alpha,\beta<\infty,\tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_{\alpha,\infty}=\left\{T\colon a_n(T)\le \mathrm{const}\,n^{-1/\alpha}\right\}\qquad 0<\alpha<\infty.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47G10.

Key words and phrases. Laplace transformation, Lebesgue space, Approximation number, Schatten norm, Asymptotic estimate.

Let $L^r(I)$ denote a space of all functions f measurable in the Lebesgue sense on $I \subseteq [0,+\infty) =: \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\|f\|_{r,I} := \left(\int_I |f|^r\right)^{1/r} < \infty$. We study sequences of approximation numbers of an integral operator

$$\mathscr{L}f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} f(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \qquad \mathscr{L}\colon L^p \to L^q, \tag{1.3}$$

when $1 \le p < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$, $\lambda > 0$ and a non-negative weight function v is locally integrable on $\mathbb{R}^+$.

Boundedness and compactness properties of the Laplace transform $\mathscr{L}$ in the Lebesgue spaces were completely studied in [15] and [18].

Theorem 1.1. [15, Th.1],[18, Th.3.1]
(i) *Let* $1 < p \le q < \infty$, $p' := p/(p-1)$ *and* $q' := q/(q-1)$. *Denote* $\kappa_1 := q^{-2/q}[\min\{2, 2^{q-1}\}]^{1/q}$ *and* $\bar{\kappa}_1 := \begin{cases} 2^{1/q}(q')^{1/p'}(q-1)^{-1/q}, & 1 < q \le 2 \\ 2^{1/q'}(q')^{1/p'}, & q > 2 \end{cases}$.
The operator $\mathscr{L}$ *is* $L^p - L^q$*-bounded if and only if*

$$A_{\mathscr{L}} := \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} A_{\mathscr{L}}(t) = \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda/q}\left(\int_0^t v^{p'}(y)dy\right)^{1/p'} < \infty,$$

where $\kappa_1 A_{\mathscr{L}} \le \|\mathscr{L}\|_{L^p\to L^q} \le \bar{\kappa}_1 A_{\mathscr{L}}$. $\mathscr{L} : L^p \to L^q$ *is compact if and only if* $A_{\mathscr{L}} < \infty$ *and*

$$\text{(i)} \lim_{t\to 0} A_{\mathscr{L}}(t) = 0, \qquad \text{(ii)} \lim_{t\to\infty} A_{\mathscr{L}}(t) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

(ii) *Let* $1 \le q < p < \infty$ *and* $r := pq/(p-q)$. *If* $q = 1$ *then* $\mathscr{L}$ *is bounded if and only if* $\|\mathscr{L}\|_{L^p\to L^1} = B_p := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} y^{-\lambda p'} v^{p'}(y)dy\right)^{1/p'} < \infty$. *If* $q > 1$ *then* $\mathscr{L}$ *is bounded if and only if*

$$B_{\mathscr{L}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda r/q}\left[\int_0^t v^{p'}(y)dy\right]^{r/q'} v^{p'}(t)dt\right)^{1/r} < \infty,$$

where $\kappa_2 B_{\mathscr{L}} \le \|\mathscr{L}\|_{L^p\to L^q} \le \bar{\kappa}_2 B_{\mathscr{L}}$ *with* $\kappa_2 := [\min\{2, 2^{q-1}\}/q]^{1/q}(p'q/r)^{1/q'}$, $\bar{\kappa}_2 := 2^{1/q}(p')^{1/q'}(q-1)^{-1/q}$ *if* $1 < q \le 2$ *and* $\bar{\kappa}_2 := 2^{1/q'}(p')^{1/q'}$ *for* $q > 2$. *Moreover, since* $1 \le q < p < \infty$ *then the boundedness of the operator* $\mathscr{L} : L^p \to L^q$ *is equivalent to the compactness of* $\mathscr{L}$ *from* L^p *to* L^q.
(iii) *If* $0 < q < 1 < p < \infty$ *then* $\mathscr{L}$ *is bounded if* $B_{\mathscr{L}} < \infty$. *If* $\mathscr{L}$ *is bounded then* $\|B_q\|_{p'} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} y^{-\lambda p'/q} v^{p'}(y)dy\right)^{1/p'} < \infty$. *Besides,*

$$q^{-1/q}\|B_q\|_{p'} \le \|\mathscr{L}\|_{L^p\to L^q} \le p^{1/p}(p')^{1/q'} q^{-2/q} r^{1/r} B_{\mathscr{L}},$$

and the $L^p - L^q$*- boundedness of* $\mathscr{L}$ *is equivalent to the compactness of* $\mathscr{L}$ *from* L^p *to* L^q *provided* $q < p$.

(iv) *Let* $0 < q < 1 = p$. *The transformation* $\mathscr{L}$ *is bounded if*

$$B_{q'} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda/(1-q)-1} [\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{0<x<t} v(x)]^{q/(1-q)} dt\right)^{(1-q)/q} < \infty.$$

If $\mathscr{L}$ *is bounded then* $\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} B_q(t) := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda/q} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{0<x<t} v(x) < \infty$. *We have also*

$$q^{-1/q} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} B_q(t) \le \|\mathscr{L}\|_{L^1\to L^q} \le \lambda^{(1-q)/q} q^{-2/q} (1-q)^{-(1-q)/q} B_{q'}.$$

Moreover, in view of $q < p = 1$ *the* $L^1 - L^q$*- boundedness of* $\mathscr{L}$ *is equivalent to the* $L^1 - L^q$*-compactness of* $\mathscr{L}$.

(v) *If* $1 = p \le q < \infty$ *then* $\mathscr{L}$ *bounded iff* $\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} B_q(t) < \infty$. *The operator is compact from* L^1 *to* L^q *for* $1 \le q < \infty$ *iff* $\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} B_q(t) < \infty$ *and* $\lim_{t\to 0} B_q(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} B_q(t) = 0$.

(vi) *Let* $p = \infty$. *If* $1 \le q < \infty$ *then* $\mathscr{L}$ *is bounded if and only if*

$$C_q := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda} \left[\int_0^t v(y)dy\right]^{q-1} v(t)dt\right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

For $q < 1$ *the operator* $\mathscr{L}$ *is bounded if* $C_q < \infty$. *If* $\mathscr{L}$ *is bounded then* $\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda/q} v(t)dt < \infty$. *Besides, for all* $0 < q < \infty$ *the* $L^\infty - L^q$*-boundedness of the Laplace transformation* $\mathscr{L}$ *is equivalent to the compactness of* $\mathscr{L}$.

(vii) *Let* $q = \infty$. *If* $1 < p \le \infty$ *then* $\mathscr{L}$ *is bounded iff* $\|v\|_{p'} < \infty$ *and compact iff* $\|v\|_{p'} < \infty$. *If* $p = 1$ *then* $\mathscr{L}$ *is never compact, but bounded iff* $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} B_1(t) < \infty$.

Schatten-von Neumann norms of $\mathscr{L} : L^2 \to L^2$ were studied in [17] (see also [16]).

Theorem 1.2. [17, Th.4], [16, Th.3] *Suppose* $\mathscr{L}$ *is compact from* L^2 *to* L^2.

(i) *If* $\mathscr{L} \in \mathbf{S}_\alpha$ *with* $2 \le \alpha < \infty$ *then*

$$X_\alpha := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} x^{-(\lambda\alpha/2+1)} \left(\int_0^x v^2(y)\mathrm{d}y\right)^{\alpha/2} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/\alpha} < \infty.$$

(ii) *If* $\mathscr{L} \in \mathbf{S}_\alpha$ *for* $0 < \alpha \le 2$ *then* $X_2 < \infty$.

(iii) *If* $X_\alpha < \infty$ *for* $0 < \alpha < \infty$ *then* $\mathscr{L} \in \mathbf{S}_\alpha$ *for all* $0 < \alpha < \infty$.

Remark 1.3. The assertion **(i)** of the theorem can be improved to $1 \le \alpha < \infty$. Indeed, by [13, Lemma 2.11.12] we have for all orthonormal sequences $\{f_k\}$ and $\{g_k\}$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} |(\mathscr{L} f_k, g_k)|^\alpha \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^\alpha(\mathscr{L}), \qquad n \le \infty, \tag{1.5}$$

where $1 \le \alpha < \infty$, $\mathscr{L}$ is compact on L^2 and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product. Applying the functions

$$f_k(y) = \chi_{(2^{k-1},2^k)}(y) v(y) \left(\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} v^2(z) \mathrm{d}z \right)^{-1/2},$$

$$g_k(x) = \frac{2^{k\lambda/2+\lambda}}{2^\lambda - 1} \chi_{(2^{-(k+1)\lambda}, 2^{-k\lambda})}(x)$$

into the left-hand side of (1.5) we obtain

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k^\alpha \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_k^\alpha,$$

where $\tau_k = 2^{-\lambda k/2} \left(\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} v^2(z) \mathrm{d}z \right)^{1/2}$ and $\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau_k^\alpha \right)^{1/\alpha} \approx X_\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < \infty$. Thus, the parts **(i)** and **(ii)** of the theorem 1.2 have been changed as follows:
(i') If $\mathscr{L} \in \mathbf{S}_\alpha$, where $1 \le \alpha < \infty$, then $X_\alpha < \infty$ for $1 \le \alpha < \infty$.
(ii') If $\mathscr{L} \in \mathbf{S}_\alpha$ for $0 < \alpha \le 1$, then $X_1 < \infty$.

This work is devoted to approximation numbers of the operator $\mathscr{L}$ in (quasi-)Banach case of Lebesgue spaces. We obtain sufficient conditions for the Laplace transformation to belong to weighted Schatten classes

$$\mathbf{S}_{s,u} = \left\{ T \colon \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[a_n(\mathscr{L}) u_n \right]^s \right)^{1/s} < \infty \right\},$$

when the operator is acting from L^p to L^q with $1 \le p < \infty$ and $0 < q < \infty$. The results imply upper estimates either for the corresponding Schatten-Lorentz norms (1.2) or for the Schatten-von Neumann classes (1.1). Some upper asymptotic estimates for the sequences $\{a_n(\mathscr{L})\}$ are also given in the article.

Approximation numbers of integral operators have being intensively studied since late seventies of the last century. In particular, in some recent papers (see e.g. [2] – [6], [8] – [12], [14]) authors deal with Volterra type integral operators. The results in [2], [6], [12] and [14] are even on the operators with non-factorised kernels. The purpose of our work is study approximation numbers of an integral operator of such a type.

For achieving our results we mainly adapt remarkable methods which were originated in [3], [4], [5] and continued in [11] for Hardy integral operator $H_{\mathbb{R}^+,v,w}$ defined by (4.1). The transformation $\mathscr{L}$ and the Hardy operator are related to each other by Lemma 1 from [15], which is true for subclasses of non-negative functions from Lebesgue spaces only. By using this fact we extract some upper estimates for approximation numbers of the operator $\mathscr{L}$.

For our purposes Lemma 1 [15] has to be modified as follows.

Lemma 1.4. [15] *Let* $0 \le a < b \le \infty$ *and an operator* $\mathscr{L}_{(a,b)}$ *be given by*

$$\mathscr{L}_{(a,b)}f(x) := \int_a^b f(y)v(y)\big[\mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} - \mathrm{e}^{-xb^\lambda}\big]\mathrm{d}y, \quad x > 0. \tag{1.6}$$

If $f \ge 0$ *and* $1 < q < \infty$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\alpha_0}{q}\int_a^b \Big(\int_a^z f(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big)^q \mathrm{d}\Big[-\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\big[\mathrm{e}^{-xz^\lambda} - \mathrm{e}^{-xb^\lambda}\big]^q\mathrm{d}x\Big)\Big] \\ &\le \|\mathscr{L}_{(a,b)}f\|_q^q \le \frac{\beta_0}{q}\int_a^b \Big(\int_a^z f(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big)^q \mathrm{d}\big[-(z^{-\lambda} - b^{-\lambda})\big], \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where $\alpha_0 = \max\{2, 2^{q-1}\}$ *and* $\beta_0 := \begin{cases} 2/(q-1), & 1 < q \le 2 \\ 2^{q-1}, & q > 2 \end{cases}.$

The article is organised as follows. In Section 2 we obtain preliminary estimates for a-numbers of $\mathscr{L}$. Section 3 is devoted to the norms of Schatten type (see Theorems 3.1 and 3.8). Section 4 is on asymptotic estimates (see Theorem 4.1).

Throughout the article products of the form $0\cdot\infty$ are supposed to be equal to 0. We write $A \ll B$ or $A \gg B$ when $A \le c_1B$ or $A \ge c_2B$ with constants c_i, $i = 1, 2$, depending on λ, p, q, s only. $A \approx B$ means $A \ll B \ll A$. Symbols $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N}$ denote integers $\{k\}$ and naturals $\{n\}$ respectively. χ_E stands for a characteristic function of a subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. We also use $=:$ and $:=$ for marking new quantities.

2. Approximation numbers

Let $(a, b) =: I \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathscr{L}_b$ be an operator given by

$$\mathscr{L}_bf(x) := \mathrm{e}^{-xb^\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y.$$

Put

$$K(I) := \big\{\sup \|\mathscr{L}(f\chi_I) - \mathscr{L}_b(f\chi_I)\|_q/\|f\|_{p,I} \colon f \in L^p(I)\big\}$$

and notice that in view of the denotation (1.6)

$$K(I) = \big\{\sup \|\mathscr{L}_I f\|_q/\|f\|_{p,I} \colon f \in L^p(I)\big\}.$$

We start from

2.1. **Case $1 \le p, q < \infty$.** Following the classical scheme for the Hardy integral operator (see [3], [11]) we define quantities

$$A_{0,I} := A_0(\delta)_I = \sup_{t\in I}\bigg(\int_a^t v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{1/p'}\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\big[\mathrm{e}^{-xt^\lambda} - \mathrm{e}^{-xb^\lambda}\big]^\delta \mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{1/q},$$

$$B_{0,I} := B_0(\delta)_I = \Bigg(\int_I\bigg(\int_a^t v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{r/p'}\mathrm{d}\Bigg[-\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\big[\mathrm{e}^{-xt^\lambda}-\mathrm{e}^{-xb^\lambda}\big]^\delta \mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{r/q}\Bigg]\Bigg)^{1/r},$$

$$A_{1,I} = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in I}\ \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{a<y<t} v(y)\big[t^{-\lambda}-b^{-\lambda}\big]^{1/q}, \quad B_{1,I} = \bigg(\int_I \big[t^{-\lambda}-b^{-\lambda}\big]^{p'} v^{p'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\bigg)^{1/p'}$$

with $r = pq/(p-q)$, which sandwich the norm $K(I)$ as follows.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 \le p, q < \infty$. We have*

$$\gamma_0 A_0(q)_I \le K(I) \le \bar\gamma_0 A_0(1)_I, \qquad 1 < p \le q < \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\gamma_1 A_{1,I} \le K(I) \le \bar\gamma_1 A_{1,I}, \qquad 1 = p \le q < \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\gamma_2 B_0(q)_I \le K(I) \le \bar\gamma_2 B_0(1)_I, \qquad 1 < q < p < \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

$$K(I) = B_{1,I}, \qquad 1 = q < p < \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

where $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = \alpha_0^{1/q} q^{-1/q}$, $\bar\gamma_0 = \beta_0^{1/q}(q')^{1/p'}$, $\bar\gamma_1 = \beta_0^{1/q}(q')^{-1/q}$, $\gamma_2 = \alpha_0^{1/q}(qp'/r)^{1/q'}$, $\bar\gamma_2 = \beta_0^{1/q}(p')^{1/q'}$.

Proof. Let $1 \le p \le q < \infty$, $A_{i,I} < \infty$, $i = 0, 1$, and $f \in L^p(I)$. By Lemma 1.4

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathscr{L}_I f\|_q^q \le \frac{\beta_0}{q}\int_I\bigg(\int_a^z |f(y)|v(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^q \mathrm{d}\big[-(z^{-\lambda} - b^{-\lambda})\big]&\\ \le \bar\gamma_i^q A_{i,I}^q \|f\|_{p,I}^q.&\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

For the reverse estimate we assume $f \ge 0$ and pass from

$$\|\mathscr{L}_I f\|_q \le K(I)\|f\|_{p,I}$$

to the inequality

$$\frac{\alpha_0}{q}\int_I\biggl(\int_a^z f(y)v(y)\mathrm{d}y\biggr)^q\mathrm{d}\biggl[-\biggl(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\bigl[\mathrm{e}^{-xz^\lambda}-\mathrm{e}^{-xb^\lambda}\bigr]^q\mathrm{d}x\biggr)\biggr] \le K(I)^q\|f\|_{p,I}^q \tag{2.6}$$

by using Lemma 1.4. Thus, $K(I)\ge\gamma_0A_0(q)_I$. We also have $K(I)\ge\gamma_1A_{1,I}$ when $p=1$.

If $1<q<p<\infty$ then similar to (2.5)

$$\|\mathscr{L}_If\|_q^q\le\bar{\gamma}_2^qB_0(1)_I^q\|f\|_{p,I}^q. \tag{2.7}$$

Lemma 1.4 and arguments for the Hardy integral operator applied to the left-hand side of the inequality (2.6) give us $K(I)\ge\gamma_2B_0(q)_I$, $q>1$.

For $1=q<p<\infty$ we obtain (2.4) by direct and reverse Hölder's inequalities. □

Assume that $\mathscr{L}$ is compact. In view of local integrability of v, on the strength of the conditions (1.4) and by Lemma 2.1 the quantity $K(I)$ continuously depends on an interval $I\subseteq\mathbb{R}^+$. Thus, any given $\varepsilon>0$ such that $0<\varepsilon<\|\mathscr{L}\|_{p\to q}$ we can find $N=N(\varepsilon)$ and, therefore, points $0=c_0<c_1<\ldots<c_{N+1}=\infty$ to form intervals $I_n=[c_n,c_{n+1}]$, $k=0,\ldots,N$, so that the norm $K(I_n)$ is equal to ε for all $k=0,\ldots,N-1$ and $K(I_N)\le\varepsilon$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1\le p,q<\infty$ and $0<\varepsilon\le\|\mathscr{L}\|_{p\to q}$. Suppose there exists $N=N(\varepsilon)<\infty$ and points $0=c_0<c_1<\ldots<c_{N+1}=\infty$ such that $K(I_n)=\varepsilon$ for all $I_n=(c_n,c_{n+1})$, $n=0,\ldots,N-1$, and $K(I_N)\le\varepsilon$. Then*

$$a_{N+1}(\mathscr{L})\le\begin{cases}\varepsilon, & p=1,\\ \varepsilon(N+1)^{1/p'}, & p>1.\end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Let $f\in L^p$ be such that $\|f\|_p=1$, and define $P\colon L^p\to L^q$ by

$$Pf(x)=\sum_{n=0}^N\mathscr{L}_{c_{n+1}}(f\chi_{I_n})(x). \tag{2.9}$$

Since $\mathscr{L}_{c_{N+1}}(f\chi_{I_n})\equiv0$ then $\operatorname{rank}P\le N$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathscr{L}f-Pf\|_q&=\|\sum_{n=0}^N[\mathscr{L}(f\chi_{I_n})-\mathscr{L}_{c_{n+1}}(f\chi_{I_n})]\|_q\le\sum_{n=0}^N\|\mathscr{L}_{I_n}(f\chi_{I_n})\|_q\\&\le\sum_{n=0}^NK(I_n)\|f\|_{p,I_n}\le\varepsilon\sum_{n=0}^N\|f\|_{p,I_n}.\end{aligned}$$

This immediately implies (2.8) for $p = 1$. If $p > 1$ then (2.8) follows by Hölder's inequality. □

2.2. **Case** $0 < q < 1 \le p < \infty$**.** In this part we operate with the constant $B_0(\delta)_I$, when $\delta = q$, and quantities $r = pq/(p-q)$,

$$B_{2,I} = \left(\int_I v^{p'}(y)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-xy^\lambda} - \mathrm{e}^{-xb^\lambda}\right]^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{p'/q}\mathrm{d}y\right)^{1/p'},$$

$$B_{3,I} = \left(\int_I [\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{a<x<t} v(x)]^{q/(1-q)}\mathrm{d}\left[-\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-xt^\lambda} - \mathrm{e}^{-xb^\lambda}\right]^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/(1-q)}\right]\right)^{(1-q)/q},$$

$$B_{4,I} = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in I}\left[\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{a<x<t} v(x)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-xt^\lambda} - \mathrm{e}^{-xb^\lambda}\right]^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}\right].$$

The analog of Lemma 2.1 for $0 < q < 1 \le p < \infty$ reads

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $0 < q < 1 \le p < \infty$. *We have*

$$B_{2,I} \le K(I) \le \bar{\gamma}_3 B_0(q)_I, \qquad 0 < q < 1 < p < \infty, \tag{2.10}$$

$$B_{4,I} \le K(I) \le \bar{\gamma}_4 B_{3,I}, \qquad 0 < q < 1 = p, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\bar{\gamma}_3 = (r/q)^{1/r-1}p^{1/p}(p')^{1/p'}q^{-1/q}$ *and* $\bar{\gamma}_4 = (1-q)^{-(1-q)/q}$.

Proof. Since $q < 1$ we have by a monotonicity argument

$$\|\mathscr{L}_I f\|_q^q \le \frac{1}{q}\int_a^c\left(\int_a^z |f(y)|v(y)\mathrm{d}y\right)^q \mathrm{d}\left[-\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-xz^\lambda} - \mathrm{e}^{-xc^\lambda}\right]^q \mathrm{d}x\right)\right]$$

(see [15, p. 1131] for details). Therefore,

$$K(I)^q \le \begin{cases}\bar{\gamma}_3^q B_0^q(q)_I\|f\|_{p,I}^q, & p > 1\\ \bar{\gamma}_4^q B_{3,I}^q\|f\|_{1,I}^q, & p = 1\end{cases}.$$

For the reverse estimate we assume $f \ge 0$ and complete the proof of (2.10) and (2.11) by using Minkovskii's and Hölder's inequalities. □

If $\mathscr{L}$ is compact and $0 < \varepsilon < \|\mathscr{L}\|_{p\to q}$ then by Lemma 2.3 the norm $K(I)$, as before, continuously depends on an interval I. Thus, for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find points $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \ldots < c_{N+1} = \infty$, $N = N(\varepsilon)$, with $K(I_n) = \varepsilon$ for $n = 0, \ldots, N-1$ and $K(I_N) \le \varepsilon$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $0 < q < 1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq \|\mathscr{L}\|_{p\to q}$ and suppose there exists $N(\varepsilon) < \infty$ and points $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \ldots < c_{N+1} = \infty$ such that $K(I_n) = \varepsilon$ for all $I_n = (c_n, c_{n+1})$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$, but $K(I_0) \leq \varepsilon$. Then*

$$a_{N+1}(\mathscr{L}) \leq \begin{cases} \varepsilon(N+1)^{1/r}, & 1 < q < 1 < p < \infty \\ \varepsilon(N+1)^{(1-q)/q}, & 0 < q < 1 = p \end{cases}. \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. Taking the operator (2.9) with $\operatorname{rank} P \leq N$ we obtain provided $q < 1$

$$\|\mathscr{L}f - Pf\|_q^q \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N} \|\mathscr{L}_{I_n}|f|\|_q^q \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N} K(I_n)^q \|f\|_{q,I_n}^q \leq \varepsilon^q \sum_{n=0}^{N} \|f\|_{p,I_n}^q.$$

The Holder's inequality completes the proof of the theorem as follows

$$\|\mathscr{L}f - Pf\|_q^q \leq \begin{cases} \varepsilon^q \big(\sum_{n=0}^{N} \|f\|_{p,I_n}^p\big)^{q/p} (N+1)^{q/r}, & p > 1 \\ \varepsilon^q \big(\sum_{n=0}^{N} \|f\|_{1,I_n}\big)^q (N+1)^{1-q}, & p = 1 \end{cases}. \tag{2.13}$$

□

3. Schatten type norm estimates

3.1. **Case** $p > 1$**.** Denote $r := pq/(p-q)$, $\theta := p'q/(p'+q)$, $\Delta_k := [2^{k-1}, 2^k]$, $\Omega(l,m) := \bigcup_{l \leq k \leq m-1} \Delta_k$ with integers $l < m$ and

$$\sigma_k(\delta) := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} [\mathrm{e}^{-x2^{k\lambda}} - \mathrm{e}^{-x2^{(k+1)\lambda}}]^\delta \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{\Delta_k} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\right)^{1/p'},$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_s(l,m) : &= \left(\int_{\Omega(l,m)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} [\mathrm{e}^{-xt^\lambda} - \mathrm{e}^{-x2^{m\lambda}}]^\delta \mathrm{d}x\right)^{s/q}\right. \\ &\quad \left.\times \left[\int_0^t \chi_{\Omega(l,m)}(y) v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\right]^{s/p'-1} v^{p'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/s}, \quad \delta = \begin{cases} 1, & q \geq 1 \\ q, & q < 1 \end{cases}, \end{aligned}$$

$$J_s(-\infty, +\infty) =: J_s = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda s/q} \left[\int_0^t v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\right]^{s/p'-1} v^{p'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/s},$$

$$\Lambda_s(\delta)_{(l,m)} := \left(\sum_{k=l}^{m-1} \sigma_k^s(\delta)\right)^{1/s}, \quad \Lambda_s(\delta) := \Lambda_s(\delta)_{(-\infty,+\infty)} := \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k^s(\delta)\right)^{1/s}.$$

The result of the section reads

Theorem 3.1. *Let the operator* $\mathscr{L}\colon L^p \to L^q$ *be compact and* $s > \theta$.
(i) *If* $1 < p \le q < \infty$ *then*

$$\biggl(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n^s(\mathscr{L}) n^{-s/p'}\biggr)^{1/s} \le const(p,q,s,\lambda)\ J_s.$$

(ii) *If* $1 < q < p < \infty$ *then*

$$\biggl(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n^s(\mathscr{L}) n^{-s/p'}\biggr)^{1/s} \le const(p,q,s,\lambda) \begin{cases} J_s, & \theta < s \le r,\\ J_r, & s > r.\end{cases}$$

(iii) *If* $0 < q < 1 < p < \infty$ *then*

$$\biggl(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n^s(\mathscr{L}) n^{s/p-s/q}\biggr)^{1/s} \le const(p,q,s,\lambda) \begin{cases} J_s, & \theta < s \le r,\\ J_r, & s > r.\end{cases}$$

Proof of the results follows from (3.1) and Section 3.1.2, which needs

3.1.1. *Technical lemmas.* The first statement is similar to [11, Lemma 4.4].

Lemma 3.2. *If* $0 < s < \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$ *and* $l < m$ *then*

$$\Lambda_s(\delta) \ll J_s, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\Lambda_s(\delta)_{(l,m)} \gg J_s(l,m). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. To prove (3.1) we put $\mathbb{A}_s^s := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k\lambda s/q}\Bigl(\int_0^{2^k} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\Bigr)^{s/p'}$ and notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_s^s(\delta) &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\biggl(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}[\mathrm{e}^{-x2^{k\lambda}} - \mathrm{e}^{-x2^{(k+1)\lambda}}]^\delta \mathrm{d}x\biggr)^{s/q}\biggl(\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\biggr)^{s/p'}\\ &\le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\biggl(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\mathrm{e}^{-\delta x2^{k\lambda}}\mathrm{d}x\biggr)^{s/q}\biggl(\int_0^{2^k} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\biggr)^{s/p'} = \delta^{-s/q}\mathbb{A}_s^s.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}J_s^s &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} t^{-\lambda s/q}\Big(\int_0^t v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big)^{s/p'-1} v^{p'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\\ &\geq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k\lambda s/q}\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k}\Big(\int_0^t v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big)^{s/p'-1} v^{p'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\\ &= \frac{p'}{s}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{-k\lambda s/q}\bigg(\Big[\int_0^{2^k} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big]^{s/p'} - \Big[\int_0^{2^{k-1}} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big]^{s/p'}\bigg)\\ &= \frac{p'}{s}\big[\mathbb{A}_s^s - 2^{-\lambda s/q}\mathbb{A}_s^s\big] = \frac{p'}{s}\big[1-2^{-\lambda s/q}\big]\mathbb{A}_s^s \geq \frac{\delta^{s/q}p'}{s}\big[1-2^{-\lambda s/q}\big]\Lambda_s^s(\delta).\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\Lambda_s(\delta) \leq \big[\delta^{1/q}\frac{p'}{s}(1-2^{-\lambda s/q})\big]^{-1/s} J_s.$$

To prove (3.2) note first that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}[\mathrm{e}^{-x2^{k\lambda}} - \mathrm{e}^{-x2^{(k+1)\lambda}}]^\delta \mathrm{d}x &\geq \int_{2^{-(k+1)\lambda+\lambda_0}}^{2^{-k\lambda}}[\mathrm{e}^{-x2^{k\lambda}} - \mathrm{e}^{-x2^{(k+1)\lambda}}]^\delta \mathrm{d}x\\ &\geq \big[\mathrm{e}^{-1} - \mathrm{e}^{-2^{\lambda_0}}\big]^\delta 2^{-k\lambda}[1-2^{\lambda_0-\lambda}] =: C_1 2^{-k\lambda} \qquad (3.3)\end{aligned}$$

for any $0<\lambda_0<\lambda$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}J_s^s(l,m) &\leq \sum_{k=l}^{m-1}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}[\mathrm{e}^{-x2^{(k-1)\lambda}} - \mathrm{e}^{-x2^{m\lambda}}]^\delta\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{s/q}\\ &\quad\times\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k}\Big(\int_0^t \chi_{\Omega(l,m)}(y)v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big)^{s/p'-1} v^{p'}(t)\mathrm{d}t\\ &\leq \frac{p'}{s}\frac{2^{s\lambda/q}}{\delta^{s/q}}\sum_{k=l}^{m-1} 2^{-sk\lambda/q}\Big(\int_0^{2^k}\chi_{\Omega(l,m)}(y)v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big)^{s/p'}.\end{aligned}$$

By [7, Proposition 2.1]

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k=l}^{m-1} 2^{-sk\lambda/q}\Big(\int_0^{2^k}\chi_{\Omega(l,m)}(y)v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big)^{s/p'}\\ &\quad= \sum_{k=l}^{m-1} 2^{-sk\lambda/q}\Big(\sum_{l\leq j\leq k}\int_{2^{j-1}}^{2^j} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big)^{s/p'}\\ &\qquad\ll \sum_{k=l}^{m-1} 2^{-sk\lambda/q}\Big(\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\Big)^{s/p'}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain (3.2) with help of (3.3). □

Corollary 3.3. *We have* $\Lambda_s(\delta) \approx J_s$.

The next two lemmas are also analogous to some statements from [11]. We will apply them when $1 < p \le q < \infty$. Therefore, we actually need $\delta = 1$ and $\sigma_k(1) = \big[1 - 2^{-\lambda}\big]^{1/q} 2^{-k\lambda/q}\bigg(\int_{\Delta_k} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{1/p'}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k_1 < k_3$, $k_1 \le k_2 \le k_3$ *and* $z_1 \in \Delta_{k_1}$, $z_0 \in \Delta_{k_2}$, $z_2 \in \Delta_{k_3}$. *Then*

$$\big[z_0^{-\lambda} - z_2^{-\lambda}\big]^{1/q}\bigg(\int_{z_1}^{z_0} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{1/p'} \ll \max_{k_1 \le k \le k_2} \sigma_k(1).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\big[z_0^{-\lambda} - z_2^{-\lambda}\big]^{1/q}\bigg(\int_{z_1}^{z_0} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{1/p'} &\le 2^{-(k_2-1)\lambda/q}\bigg(\int_{2^{k_1-1}}^{2^{k_2}} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{1/p'} \\ \le \big[1 - 2^{-\lambda}\big]^{-1/q} 2^{-(k_2-1)\lambda/q}&\big[2^{p'k_1\lambda/q}\sigma_{k_1}^{p'}(1) + \ldots + 2^{p'k_2\lambda/q}\sigma_{k_2}^{p'}(1)\big]^{1/p'} \\ &\le \frac{2^{\lambda/q}\big[1 - 2^{-\lambda}\big]^{-1/q}}{(1 - 2^{-p'\lambda/q})^{1/p'}} \max_{k_1 \le k \le k_2} \sigma_k(1).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $\theta = p'q/(p'+q)$, $I_n = (c_n, c_{n+1})$, $z_n \in I_n$ *and* $2^{k-1} < c_1 < \ldots < c_{l+1} < 2^k$. *Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{l}\big[z_n^{-\lambda} - c_{n+1}^{-\lambda}\big]^{\theta/q}\bigg(\int_{c_n}^{z_n} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{\theta/p'} \ll \sigma_k^\theta(1).$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality with $(p'+q)/q$ and $(p'+q)/p'$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=1}^{l}\big[z_n^{-\lambda} - c_{n+1}^{-\lambda}\big]^{\theta/q}\bigg(\int_{c_n}^{z_n} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{\theta/p'} \\ &\le \sum_{n=1}^{l}\big[c_n^{-\lambda} - c_{n+1}^{-\lambda}\big]^{p'/(p'+q)}\bigg(\int_{c_n}^{c_{n+1}} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{q/(p'+q)} \\ &\le \bigg(\sum_{n=1}^{l}\big[c_n^{-\lambda} - c_{n+1}^{-\lambda}\big]\bigg)^{\theta/q}\bigg(\sum_{n=1}^{l}\int_{c_n}^{c_{n+1}} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{\theta/p'} \ll \sigma_k^\theta(1).\end{aligned}$$

□

The next statement is an analog of the previous Lemma but working in the case $0 < q < p < \infty$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $\theta = p'q/(p'+q)$, $I_n = (c_n, c_{n+1})$ *and* $2^{k-1} < c_1 < \ldots < c_{l+1} < 2^k$. *Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{l}\bigg(\int_{c_n}^{c_{n+1}}\bigg[\int_{c_n}^{t} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg]^{r/p'}\mathrm{d}\bigg[-\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\big[\mathrm{e}^{-xt^\lambda}-\mathrm{e}^{-xc_{n+1}^\lambda}\big]^\delta\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{r/q}\bigg]\bigg)^{\theta/r} \ll [2^\lambda-1]^{\theta/q}\sigma_k^\theta(\delta).$$

Proof. We have by Hölder's inequality

$$\sum_{n=1}^{l}\bigg(\int_{c_n}^{c_{n+1}}\bigg[\int_{c_n}^{t} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg]^{r/p'}\mathrm{d}\bigg[-\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\big[\mathrm{e}^{-xt^\lambda}-\mathrm{e}^{-xc_{n+1}^\lambda}\big]^\delta\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{r/q}\bigg]\bigg)^{\theta/r}$$

$$\le\sum_{n=1}^{l}\bigg(\int_{c_n}^{c_{n+1}} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{\theta/p'}\bigg(\int_{c_n}^{c_{n+1}}\mathrm{d}\bigg[-\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\big[\mathrm{e}^{-xt^\lambda}-\mathrm{e}^{-xc_{n+1}^\lambda}\big]^\delta\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{r/q}\bigg]\bigg)^{\theta/r}$$

$$=\sum_{n=1}^{l}\bigg(\int_{c_n}^{c_{n+1}} v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\bigg)^{\theta/p'}\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}\big[\mathrm{e}^{-xc_n^\lambda}-\mathrm{e}^{-xc_{n+1}^\lambda}\big]^\delta\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{\theta/q} \ll \sigma_k^\theta(\delta).$$

□

3.1.2. *Upper estimates for Schatten type norms.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let* $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\theta = p'q/(p'+q)$ *and* $r = pq/(p-q)$. *Assume* $\mathscr{L}\colon L^p \to L^q$ *is compact and* $s > \theta$.

(i) *If either* $1 < p \le q < \infty$, $s > \theta$ *or* $1 < q < p < \infty$, $\theta < s \le r$ *then*

$$\bigg(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\big[a_n(\mathscr{L})n^{-1/p'}\big]^s\bigg)^{1/s} \le C(p,q,s,\lambda)\Lambda_s(1).$$

(ii) *If* $1 < q < p < \infty$ *and* $s > r$ *then*

$$\bigg(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\big[a_n(\mathscr{L})n^{-1/p'}\big]^s\bigg)^{1/s} \le C(p,q,s,\lambda)\Lambda_r(1).$$

(iii) *If* $0 < q < 1 < p < \infty$ *then*

$$\bigg(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\big[a_n(\mathscr{L})n^{1/p-1/q}\big]^s\bigg)^{1/s} \le C(p,q,s,\lambda)\begin{cases}\Lambda_s(q), & \theta < s \le r\\ \Lambda_r(q), & s > r\end{cases}.$$

Proof. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \|\mathscr{L}\|_{p\to q}$ and points $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \ldots < c_{N+1} = \infty$, $N = N(\varepsilon)$ be chosen so that $K(I_n) = \varepsilon$ if $I_n := (c_n, c_{n+1})$, $n = 0, \ldots, N-1$, and $K(I_N) \le \varepsilon$. Then for any c_n with $n = 1, \ldots, N$ there exists an integer $k(n)$ such that $c_n \subset \Delta_{k(n)} = [2^{k(n)-1}, 2^{k(n)}]$.

For all intervals I_n from $n = 1$ to $n = N-1$ we have two only choices:

($*$) two neighbour points, say, c_{n_0} and c_{n_0+1}, have different $k(n_0)$ and $k(n_0+1)$, that is $k(n_0)<k(n_0+1)$ for some n_0;

($**$) two or more neighbour points, say $c_{n_1},\dots,c_{n_1+l_{n_1}-1}$ with $l_{n_1}>1$, are in the same interval $\Delta_k=[2^{k-1},2^k]$, that is $k(n_1)=k(n_1+1)=\ldots=k(n_1+l_{n_1}-1)$ and $I_i\subset[2^{k(n_1)-1},2^{k(n_1)}]$ with $n_1\le i\le n_1+l_{n_1}-1$ and $l_{n_1}>1$.

Let $1<p\le q<\infty$. Using Lemmas 2.1 and either 3.4 or 3.5 we obtain

$$\varepsilon=K(I_n)\le\bar{\gamma}_0A_0(1)_{I_n}\le C_2\sup_{k(n)\le k\le k(n+1)}\sigma_k(1)=:C_2\sigma_{k_n}(1)\quad\text{if}\quad n\in(*)$$

and

$$\varepsilon^\theta l_n=\sum_{i=n}^{n+l_n-1}K(I_i)^\theta\le C_3\sigma_{k_n}^\theta(1)\quad\text{if}\quad n\in(**).$$

As for the interval $I_0=[c_0,c_1]$, the estimate (2.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{\gamma}_0}&\le\sup_{0<t<c_1}t^{-\lambda p'/q}\int_0^t v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\\
&\le\sup_{-\infty<k\le k(1)}\sup_{2^{k-1}<t<2^k}t^{-\lambda p'/q}\int_0^t v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\\
&\le 2^{\lambda p'/q}\sup_{-\infty<k\le k(1)}2^{-\lambda p'k/q}\sum_{-\infty<m\le k}\int_{2^{m-1}}^{2^m}v^{p'}(y)\mathrm{d}y\\
&=2^{\lambda p'/q}[1-2^{-\lambda}]^{-p'/q}\sup_{-\infty<k\le k(1)}2^{-\lambda p'k/q}\sum_{-\infty<m\le k}2^{\lambda p'm/q}\sigma_m^{p'}(1)\\
&\le 2^{\lambda p'/q}[1-2^{-\lambda}]^{-p'/q}\sup_{-\infty<m\le k(1)}\sigma_m^{p'}(1)\sup_{-\infty<k\le k(1)}\sum_{-\infty<m\le k}2^{\lambda p'(m-k)/q}\\
&\le 2^{2\lambda p'/q}[1-2^{-\lambda}]^{-2p'/q}\sup_{-\infty<m\le k(1)}\sigma_m^{p'}(1)=:\sigma_{k(0)}(1).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}N(\varepsilon)&=\sharp\{n\in\mathbb{N}\colon\sigma_{k(n)}\gg\varepsilon\}+\sum_{n\colon l_n>1}\sharp\{n\in\mathbb{N}\colon\sigma_{k(n)}\gg\varepsilon l_n^{1/\theta}\}\\
&\le\sum_{n=1}^\infty\sharp\{n\in\mathbb{N}\colon\sigma_{k(n)}\gg n^{1/\theta}\varepsilon\}\le\sum_{n=1}^\infty\sharp\{k\in\mathbb{Z}\colon\sigma_k\gg n^{1/\theta}\varepsilon\}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other side we have from Lemma 2.2

$$\sharp\{n\in\mathbb{N}\colon a_n(\mathscr{L})n^{-1/p'}>\varepsilon\}\le N(\varepsilon).$$

Thus, we have by [1, Proposition II.1.8] and in view of $\theta < s$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\bigl[a_n(\mathscr{L})n^{-1/p'}\bigr]^s &= s\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}\sharp\{n\in\mathbb{N}\colon a_n(\mathscr{L})n^{-1/p'} > t\}\mathrm{d}t\\ &\le s\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}N(t)\mathrm{d}t \le s\int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty t^{s-1}\sharp\{k\in\mathbb{Z}\colon \sigma_k \ge \frac{n^{1/\theta}t}{C_4}\}\mathrm{d}t\\ &= sC_4^s\int_0^\infty \tau^{s-1}\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-s/\theta}\sharp\{k\in\mathbb{Z}\colon \sigma_k\ge\tau\}\mathrm{d}\tau\\ &= C_4^s\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-s/\theta}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_k^s(1) \le C_5^s\Lambda_s^s(1).\end{aligned}$$

Now let $0 < q < p < \infty$, $p > 1$ and consider the cases $(*)$ and $(**)$ for $n = 1,\dots,N-1$. If $n \in (*)$ then we have by (2.3), (2.10) and (3.2) from Lemma 3.2

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon = K(I_n) \ll B_0(\delta)_{I_n} &\le C_6\Bigl(\sum_{k(n)\le k\le k(n+1)}\sigma_k^r(\delta)\Bigr)^{1/r}\\ &\ll C_6\Lambda_r(\delta)_{(k(n),k(n+1))} =: C_6\Sigma_{k(n)}.\end{aligned}$$

If $n \in (**)$ then Lemma 3.6 implies

$$\varepsilon^\theta l_n = \sum_{i=n}^{n+l_n-1} K(I_i)^\theta \le C_7\sigma_{k(n)}^\theta(\delta).$$

If $n = 0$ then combination (2.3) or (2.10) with (3.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon = K(I_0) \le C_6B_0(\delta)_{I_0} &\le C_6\Bigl(\sum_{-\infty<k\le k_1}\sigma_k^r(\delta)\Bigr)^{1/r}\\ &\ll C_6\Lambda_r(\delta)_{(k(0),k(1))} =: C_6\Sigma_{k(0)},\end{aligned}$$

where $k(0) = -\infty$. Now put $\mathbb{Z}^* := \{k(n)\in\mathbb{Z}\colon n\in(*)\}$ and arrange sets $\mathbb{Z}_1^*$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2^*$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{Z}_1^* := \{k(n)\in\mathbb{Z}^*, n = 0,2,4,\dots\}, \qquad \mathbb{Z}_2^* := \{k(n)\in\mathbb{Z}^*, n = 1,3,\dots\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}N(\varepsilon) &= \sum_{n\colon l_n>1}\sharp\{n\in\mathbb{N}\colon \sigma_{k(n)} \ge \frac{\varepsilon l_n^{1/\theta}}{C_7}\} + \sharp\{\, n\in\mathbb{N}\colon \Lambda_r(\delta)_{(k(n),k(n+1))} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{C_6}\}\\ &\le \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sharp\{k\in\mathbb{Z}\colon \sigma_k \ge \frac{n^{1/\theta}\varepsilon}{C_7}\} + \sum_{i=1}^2\sharp\{k\in\mathbb{Z}_i^*\colon \Sigma_{k(n)} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{C_6}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that for a fixed $i = 1, 2$ we have $\Sigma_l \cap \Sigma_m = \emptyset$ when $l \neq m$.

Besides, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 yield

$$\sharp\{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n(\mathscr{L})u_n > \varepsilon\} \le N(\varepsilon), \quad u_n = \begin{cases} n^{-1/p'}, & q \ge 1 \\ n^{-1/r}, & q < 1 \end{cases}. \tag{3.4}$$

This implies

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \big[a_n(\mathscr{L})u_n\big]^s = s\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}\sharp\{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n(\mathscr{L})u_n > t\}\mathrm{d}t$$

$$\le s\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}N(t)\mathrm{d}t \le s\int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty t^{s-1}\sharp\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \sigma_k \ge \frac{n^{1/\theta}t}{C_7}\}\mathrm{d}t$$

$$+s\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^\infty t^{s-1}\sharp\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_i^* \colon \Sigma_k \ge \frac{t}{C_6}\}\mathrm{d}t$$

$$= sC_8^s \int_0^\infty \tau^{s-1}\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^{-s/\theta}\sharp\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \sigma_k \ge \tau\}\mathrm{d}\tau$$

$$+sC_9^s \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^\infty \tau^{s-1}\sharp\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_i^* \colon \Sigma_k \ge \tau\}\mathrm{d}\tau$$

$$= sC_8^s\zeta(s/\theta)\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_k^s(\delta) + sC_9^s\sum_{i=1}^2\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_i^*}\Sigma_k^s.$$

If $s \le r$ then

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_i^*}\bigg(\sum_{k_n\le k\le k_{n+1}}\sigma_k^r(\delta)\bigg)^{s/r} \le \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_i^*}\sum_{k_n\le k\le k_{n+1}}\sigma_k^s(\delta) \le 2\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_k^s(\delta).$$

In the case $s > r$ we have

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_i^*}\bigg(\sum_{k_n\le k\le k_{n+1}}\sigma_k^r(\delta)\bigg)^{s/r} \le \bigg(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_i^*}\sum_{k_n\le k\le k_{n+1}}\sigma_k^r(\delta)\bigg)^{s/r} \le \bigg(2\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_k^r(\delta)\bigg)^{s/r}$$

and

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_k^s(\delta) \le \bigg(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_k^r(\delta)\bigg)^{s/r}.$$

Thus,

$$\bigg(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\big[a_n(\mathscr{L})u_n\big]^s\bigg)^{1/s} \le C_{10}\bigg(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_k^s(\delta)\bigg)^{1/s}, \qquad \theta < s \le r,$$

$$\bigg(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\big[a_n(\mathscr{L})u_n\big]^s\bigg)^{1/s} \le C_{10}\bigg(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_k^r(\delta)\bigg)^{1/r}, \qquad s > r.$$

□

3.2. **Case $p = 1$.** Denote as before $\Delta_k = [2^{k-1}, 2^k]$ and $\Omega(l,m) = \bigcup_{l\le k\le m-1}\Delta_k$ with integers $l < m$. Put also $\bar{v}_a(t) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{a<x<t} v(x)$, $\bar{v}_{\Delta_k} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x\in\Delta_k} v(x)$ and

$$\bar{\sigma}_k(\delta) := \bar{v}_{\Delta_k}\biggl(\int_{\mathbb{R}+}[\mathrm{e}^{-x2^{k\lambda}} - \mathrm{e}^{-x2^{(k+1)\lambda}}]^{\delta}\mathrm{d}x\biggr)^{1/q}, \quad \delta = \begin{cases} 1, & q \ge 1 \\ q, & q < 1 \end{cases},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}_s(l,m) : &= \biggl(\int_{\Omega(l,m)}\bigl[\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{0<y<t}\chi_{\Omega(l,m)}(y)v(y)\bigr]^s \\ &\qquad \times \mathrm{d}\biggl[-\biggl(\int_{\mathbb{R}+}[\mathrm{e}^{-xt^{\lambda}} - \mathrm{e}^{-x2^{m\lambda}}]^{\delta}\mathrm{d}x\biggr)^{s/q}\biggr]\biggr)^{1/s}, \\ \bar{J}_s(-\infty,+\infty) =: \bar{J}_s &= \biggl(\int_{\mathbb{R}+}\bar{v}_0^s(t)t^{-\lambda s/q-1}\mathrm{d}t\biggr)^{1/s}, \\ \bar{\Lambda}_s(\delta)_{(l,m)} &:= \biggl(\sum_{k=l}^{m-1}\bar{\sigma}_k^s(\delta)\biggr)^{1/s}, \quad \bar{\Lambda}_s(\delta) := \biggl(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\bar{\sigma}_k^s(\delta)\biggr)^{1/s}. \end{aligned}$$

The result of the section reads

Theorem 3.8. *Let operator $\mathscr{L}\colon L^1 \to L^q$ be compact.*
(i) *If $1 = p \le q < s < \infty$ then*

$$\biggl(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n^s(\mathscr{L})\biggr)^{1/s} \le \mathit{const}(q,s,\lambda)\ \bar{J}_s.$$

(ii) *If $0 < q < 1 = p$ then*

$$\biggl(\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n^s(\mathscr{L})n^{s-s/q}\biggr)^{1/s} \le \mathit{const}(q,s,\lambda)\begin{cases} \bar{J}_s, & q < s \le q/(1-q), \\ \bar{J}_{q/(1-q)}, & s > q/(1-q). \end{cases}$$

Proof of the theorem follows very similar to Section 3.1.2 by using technical statements below instead of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 – 3.6 respectively.

Lemma 3.9. *If $0 < q < s < \infty$ and $l < m$ then*

$$\bar{\Lambda}_s(\delta) \ll \bar{J}_s \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\bar{\Lambda}_s(q)_{(l,m)} \gg \bar{J}_s(l,m), \qquad 0 < q \le 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Remark 3.10. Formulas (3.5) and (3.6) are true for all $0 < s, q < \infty$. Moreover, similar to Collorary 3.3 we have

$$\bar{\Lambda}_s(\delta) \approx \bar{J}_s.$$

The following two Lemmas are concerned the case $1 = p \le q < \infty$. This means that we need $\delta = 1$ and $\bar{\sigma}_k(1) = \big[1 - 2^{-\lambda}\big]^{1/q} 2^{-k\lambda/q} \bar{v}_{\Delta_k}$. Proofs of these lemmas are similar to 3.4, 3.5.

Lemma 3.11. *Let* $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k_1 < k_3$, $k_1 \le k_2 \le k_3$ *and* $z_1 \in \Delta_{k_1}$, $z_0 \in \Delta_{k_2}$, $z_2 \in \Delta_{k_3}$. *Then*

$$\big[z_0^{-\lambda} - z_2^{-\lambda}\big]^{1/q} \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{z_1 \le y \le z_0} v(y) \ll \max_{k_1 \le k \le k_2} \bar{\sigma}_k(1).$$

Lemma 3.12. *Let* $I_n = (c_n, c_{n+1})$, $z_n \in I_n$ *and* $2^{k-1} < c_1 < \ldots < c_{l+1} < 2^k$. *Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{l} \big[z_n^{-\lambda} - c_{n+1}^{-\lambda}\big] \big[\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{c_n \le y \le z_n} v(y)\big]^q \ll \bar{\sigma}_k^q(1).$$

We complete the row of technical lemmas and the section by a statement which is working when $0 < q < 1 = p$.

Lemma 3.13. *Let* $I_n = (c_n, c_{n+1})$ *and* $2^{k-1} < c_1 < \ldots < c_{l+1} < 2^k$. *Then we have for* $0 < q < 1$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{l} \bigg(\int_{c_n}^{c_{n+1}} \big[\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{c_n \le y \le t} v(y)\big]^{q/(1-q)} \\ \times \mathrm{d}\bigg[-\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \big[\mathrm{e}^{-xt^\lambda} - \mathrm{e}^{-xc_{n+1}^\lambda}\big]^q \mathrm{d}x \bigg)^{1/(1-q)} \bigg] \bigg)^{1-q} \ll \bar{\sigma}_k^q(q).$$

4. Asymptotic estimates

Put $1 \le p \le q < \infty$, $\theta = p'q/(p'+q)$ and define a Hardy integral operator

$$H_{I;v,w} f(t) := w(t) \int_a^t f(y) v(y) \mathrm{d}y, \qquad t \in I, \tag{4.1}$$

with weight function $w(t) = t^{-(\lambda+1)/q}$. In the case $q \ge 1$ Lemma 1.4 implies

$$K(I) = \|\mathscr{L}_I\|_{L^p(I) \to L^q} \ll \|H_{I;v,w}\|_{L^p(I) \to L^q(I)}. \tag{4.2}$$

If we take non-negative constants ξ and ζ instead of weights $v, w \ge 0$ then we obtain for the norm $\|H_{I;1,1}\|_{L^p(I) \to L^q(I)}$ by changing variables:

$$\|H_{I;\xi,\zeta}\|_{L^p(I) \to L^q(I)} = \alpha_{pq}\, \xi\, \zeta\, \big|b - a\big|^{1-1/p+1/q}, \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\alpha_{pq} = \sup_{\|f\chi_{[0,1]}\|_p \le 1} \left(\int_0^1 \left| \int_0^t f(s)\mathrm{d}s \right|^q \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/q}.$$

If $1 < p \le q < \infty$ then $\alpha_{p,q} = (\theta/p')^{1/p'}(\theta/q)^{1/q}$. For $1 = p \le q < \infty$ we have $\alpha_{1,q} = 1$. The next statement easy follows from triangle inequality for all $1 < p \le q < \infty$:

$$\|H_{I;v_1,w_1} - H_{I;v_2,w_2}\|_{p\to q} \le \|w_1\|_{q,I}\|v_1 - v_2\|_{p',I} + \|v_2\|_{p',I}\|w_1 - w_2\|_{q,I}. \tag{4.4}$$

If $1 = p \le q < \infty$ then

$$\|H_{I;v,w_1} - H_{I;v,w_2}\|_{1\to q} \le \|v\|_{\infty,I}\|w_1 - w_2\|_{q,I}. \tag{4.5}$$

The result of the section reads

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $1 \le p \le q < \infty$, $\theta := p'q/(p'+q)$ *and suppose the operator* $\mathscr{L} : L^p \to L^q$ *is compact.*

(i) *If* $p > 1$ *and* $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \sigma_k^\theta < \infty$ *then*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{1/q} a_n(\mathscr{L}) \ll \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-(\lambda+1)\theta/q} v^\theta(t)\mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/\theta}.$$

(ii) *If* $p = 1$, $v_\epsilon(t) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \|v\|_{L^\infty(t-\epsilon,t+\epsilon)}$ *and* $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \bar{\sigma}_k^q < \infty$ *then we have*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{1/q} a_n(\mathscr{L}) \ll \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} t^{-\lambda-1} v_\epsilon^q(t)\mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/q}.$$

Proof. **(i)** Fix a large $M > 0$. Since the set of step functions is dense in a Lebesgue space then for any $\eta > 0$ there exist simple functions v_η, w_η on $[1/M, M] = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_\eta} U_j$ such that

$$\|v - v_\eta\|_{p',[1/M,M]} \le \eta, \qquad \|w - w_\eta\|_{q,[1/M,M]} \le \eta.$$

We may assume $v_\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{m_\eta} \xi_j \chi_{U_j}$ and $w_\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{m_\eta} \zeta_j \chi_{U_j}$ with disjoint U_j.

Now given $0 < \varepsilon < \|\mathscr{L}\|_{p\to q}$ consider a finite sequence $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \ldots < c_N < c_{N+1} = \infty$, $N = N(\varepsilon)$, of c_n chosen as follows:

$$K(c_n, c_{n+1}) = K(I_n) = \varepsilon, \qquad n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1; \qquad K(I_N) \le \varepsilon.$$

Denote

$$N(M,\varepsilon) = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon 1/M < c_n \le M\},$$

$$N(1/M,\varepsilon) = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon 1/M \le c_n < M\}$$

and

$$I_n(M)=\begin{cases}[c_n,M], & n=N(M,\varepsilon)\\ [1/M,c_n], & n=N(1/M,\varepsilon)\end{cases}.$$

It was shown in [4, p.67] and in [11] that if U_j is contained in some I_n for all $\varepsilon>0$ then $K(U_j)=0$, and, therefore, $vw=0$ a.e. on U_j. So, fixed $\eta>0$ let $\varepsilon_j=\inf\{\varepsilon>0\colon$ there exists n such that $I_n\supset U_j\}$ and put $\epsilon=\min\{\varepsilon_j\colon\varepsilon_j>0\}$. Then if $0<\varepsilon<\epsilon$ it follows that $U_j\not\subseteq I_n$ for all n,j.

Now we estimate the difference

$$\left|\int_{1/M}^M v^\theta(t)w^\theta(t)\mathrm{d}t-\int_{1/M}^M\sum_{j=1}^{m_\eta}\xi_j^\theta\zeta_j^\theta\chi_{U_j}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right|=\left|\int_{1/M}^M\bigl[v(t)w(t)-v_\eta(t)w_\eta(t)\bigr]\mathrm{d}t\right|$$

with the sum over those $j\in\{1,\ldots,m_\eta\}$ for which $\int_{U_j}v(t)w(t)\mathrm{d}t\neq0$. If $1<p=q<\infty$ then $\theta=1$ and we have from [4, p.67] that

$$\left|\int_{1/M}^M\bigl[v(t)w(t)-v_\eta(t)w_\eta(t)\bigr]\mathrm{d}t\right|\le\Bigl(\|v\|_{p',[1/M,M]}+\|w\|_{q,[1/M,M]}+\eta\Bigr)\eta.$$

If $1<p<q<\infty$ then $\theta>1$ and we have from [11] that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{1/M}^M\bigl[v^\theta(t)w^\theta(t)-v_\eta^\theta(t)w_\eta^\theta(t)\bigr]\mathrm{d}t\right|\\ \le\;&\eta\Bigl(\theta\|w\|^\theta_{q,[1/M,M]}\|v+v_\eta\|^{\theta-1}_{p',[1/M,M]}+\theta\|v_\eta\|^\theta_{p',[1/M,M]}\|w+w_\eta\|^{\theta-1}_{q,[1/M,M]}\Bigr).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all $1\le\theta<\infty$

$$\left|\int_{1/M}^M v^\theta(t)w^\theta(t)\mathrm{d}t-\int_{1/M}^M\sum_{j=1}^{m_\eta}\xi_j^\theta\zeta_j^\theta\chi_{U_j}(t)\mathrm{d}t\right|\le O(\eta).\tag{4.6}$$

Since $\int_{U_j}v(t)w(t)\mathrm{d}t\neq0$ then $\varepsilon_j>0$. Thus, we may let $0<\varepsilon<\epsilon$ and put $\mathscr{K}=\bigl\{n\colon$ there exists j such that $I_n\subset U_j\bigr\}$. Then $\sharp\mathscr{K}\ge N(M,\varepsilon)-N(1/M,\varepsilon)-2m_\eta$ and we obtain with help of (4.2)– (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}\bigl(N(M,\varepsilon)-N(1/M,\varepsilon)-2m_\eta\bigr)\varepsilon^\theta&\le\sum_{n\in\mathscr{K}}\|\mathscr{L}_{I_n}\|^\theta_{p\to q}\ll\sum_{n\in\mathscr{K}}\|H_{I_n;v,w}\|^\theta_{p\to q}\\ &\ll\sum_{n\in\mathscr{K}}\|H_{I_n;v_\eta,w_\eta}\|^\theta_{p\to q}+\sum_{j=1}^{m_\eta}\sum_{I_n\subset U_j}\|H_{U_j;\xi_j,\zeta_j}-H_{U_j;v,w}\|^\theta_{p\to q}\\ &\ll\alpha^\theta_{p,q}\int_{1/M}^M v^\theta_\eta(t)w^\theta_\eta(t)\mathrm{d}t+O(\eta^\theta).\end{aligned}$$

Applying (4.6) we write

$$\big(N(M,\varepsilon)-N(1/M,\varepsilon)-2m_\eta\big)\varepsilon^\theta \ll \alpha_{p,q}^\theta\int_{1/M}^M v^\theta(t)w^\theta(t)\mathrm{d}t+O(\eta^\theta),$$

which shows

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_{\varepsilon\to0}\varepsilon^\theta\big[N(M,\varepsilon)-N(1/M,\varepsilon)\big]\\ &\ll \alpha_{p,q}^\theta\int_{1/M}^M v^\theta(t)w^\theta(t)\mathrm{d}t+O(\eta^\theta).\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

Now set

$$k_{1/M}=\min\{k\in\mathbb{Z}\colon 2^k>N(1/M,\varepsilon)\text{ and }K(0,2^k)\geq\varepsilon\},$$

$$k_M=\max\{k\in\mathbb{Z}\colon 2^k<N(M,\varepsilon)\text{ and }K(2^k,\infty)\geq\varepsilon\}$$

and let $N=N(\varepsilon)=N(\infty,\varepsilon)$ be the total number of intervals I_n. Obviously, $k_{1/M}\to-\infty$, $k_M\to+\infty$ as $M\to+\infty$.

Since $1<p\leq q<\infty$ we find from (2.1) and Lemmas 3.4, 3.5 that

$$[N(\infty,\varepsilon)-N(M,\varepsilon)]\,\varepsilon^\theta\ll\sum_{k\geq k_M}\sigma_k^\theta,\quad N(1/M,\varepsilon)\,\varepsilon^\theta\ll\sum_{k\leq k_{1/M}}\sigma_k^\theta.\tag{4.8}$$

Thus and by (4.7)

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to0}\varepsilon^\theta N(\varepsilon)\ll\alpha_{p,q}^\theta\int_{1/M}^M v^\theta(t)w^\theta(t)\mathrm{d}t+\sum_{|k|\geq\min\{|k_{1/M}|,|k_M|\}}\sigma_k^\theta+O(\eta^\theta),$$

where the middle term is vanishing as $M\to+\infty$ provided $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sigma_k^\theta<\infty$. Therefore, letting $\eta\to0$ and $M\to+\infty$ we establish

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to0}\varepsilon^\theta N(\varepsilon)\ll\alpha_{p,q}^\theta\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}v^\theta(t)w^\theta(t)\mathrm{d}t.\tag{4.9}$$

Further, from Lemma 2.2 we have $a_{N+1}(\mathscr{L})[N+1]^{-1/p'}\leq\varepsilon$. This gives

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty}N^{1/q}a_N(\mathscr{L})\ll\alpha_{p,q}\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+}v^\theta(t)w^\theta(t)\mathrm{d}t\bigg)^{1/\theta}.$$

(ii) Put $v_\epsilon(t)=\lim_{\epsilon\to0}\|v\|_{L^\infty(t-\epsilon,t+\epsilon)}$ and notice that by duality argument $\|H_{I,v,w}\|_{L^1(I)\to L^q(I)}=\|H_{I,v_\epsilon,w}\|_{L^1(I)\to L^q(I)}$ (see [5, Lemma 4.1] for details).

Now fix a large $M>0$. On the strength of [5, Lemma 4.2] for each $\eta>0$ there exist step functions w_η, v_η on $[1/M,M]=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m_\eta}U_j$ such that

$$\|w-w_\eta\|_{q,[1/M,M]}<\eta,\qquad\int_{[1/M,M]}w^q(t)[v_\eta^q(t)-v_\epsilon^q(t)]\mathrm{d}t<\eta$$

and

$$\|v_\epsilon\|_{\infty,[1/M,M]} \geq v_\eta(t) \geq v_\epsilon(t), \qquad t \in [1/M, M].$$

We assume that $v_\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{m_\eta} \xi_j \chi_{U_j}$ and $w_\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{m_\eta} \zeta_j \chi_{U_j}$ with disjoint U_j.

Now let $\varepsilon > 0$, $N = N(\infty, \varepsilon) = N(\varepsilon)$ and $\{c_n\}_0^{N+1}$ be the endpoints of the intervals $I_n = [c_n, c_{n+1}]$ with $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \ldots < c_{N+1} = \infty$ and

$$K(I_n) \leq \varepsilon, \quad n = 0, \ldots, N; \qquad K(I_n, I_{n+1}) > \varepsilon, \quad n = 0, \ldots, N-1.$$

If $q = 1$ we have from [5, p. 183]

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{[1/M,M]} w(t)v_\epsilon(t)\mathrm{d}t - \int_{[1/M,M]} w_\eta(t)v_\eta(t)\mathrm{d}t \right| \\ &\qquad\qquad < \eta\big[1 + \|v_\epsilon\|_{\infty,[1/M,M]}\big]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

If $q > 1$ we obtain with help of [11, (3.5)]

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{[1/M,M]} w^q(t)v_\epsilon^q(t)\mathrm{d}t - \int_{[1/M,M]} w_\eta^q(t)v_\eta^q(t)\mathrm{d}t \right| \\ &\qquad < \eta\big[1 + q\|v_\epsilon\|_{\infty,[1/M,M]}\|w + w_\eta\|_{q,[1/M,M]}\big]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Let an operator $H^*_{I,v,w}g(y) = v(y)\int_y^b g(t)w(t)\mathrm{d}t$, $y \in I$, be adjoint to $H_{I,v,w}$. Similar to [5, Lemma 4.5] we have for $q = 1$ with $\xi \geq v_\epsilon(t) \geq 0$ on U

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{U,\xi,\zeta}\|_{1\to 1} &= \|H^*_{U,\xi,\zeta}\|_{\infty\to\infty} \geq \|H^*_{U,\xi,v_\epsilon}\|_{\infty\to\infty} \\ &= \|H_{U,\xi,v_\epsilon}\|_{1\to 1} \geq \frac{\xi}{2}\|(v_\epsilon\chi_U)^*(t)t\|_{\infty,(0,|U|)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where g^* denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of a function g and $|U| = \operatorname{mes} U$. If $q > 1$ then an analog of the statement [5, Lemma 4.5] for the operator H^* has to be modified as follows.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $\operatorname{mes} U < \infty$ *and* $\zeta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *with* $\xi \geq v_\epsilon(t) \geq 0$ *on* U. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{U,\xi,\zeta}\|_{1\to q} &= \|H^*_{U,\xi,\zeta}\|_{q'\to\infty} \geq \|H^*_{U,v_\epsilon,\zeta}\|_{q'\to\infty} \\ &\geq \sup_{f\in L^\infty(U),\, f\neq 0}\ \inf_{\vartheta\in\mathbb{R}} \|H^*_{U,v_\epsilon,\zeta} - \vartheta v_\epsilon\|_{q'\to\infty} \\ &\geq \frac{\zeta}{2}\|(v_\epsilon\chi_U)^*(t)t^{1/q}\|_{\infty,(0,|U|)}. \end{aligned}$$

From this and (4.12) we obtain for all $q \geq 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \|H_{U,\xi,\zeta}\|_{1\to q} - \|H_{U,\xi,v_\epsilon}\|_{1\to q} &\leq \xi\,\zeta|U|^{1/q} - \frac{\zeta}{2}\|(v_\epsilon\chi_U)^*(t)t^{1/q}\|_{\infty,(0,|U|)}\\
&\leq \xi\,\zeta|U|^{1/q} - \frac{\zeta}{2}\max_{0<y<|U|^{1/q}/2}\left[y\left(\xi - \frac{2\int_U \zeta[\xi^q - v_\epsilon^q(t)]\mathrm{d}t}{\zeta|U|^{1/q}}\right)\right]\\
&= \xi\,\zeta|U|^{1/q} - \frac{\zeta}{2}\left(\xi - \frac{2\int_U \zeta[\xi^q - v_\epsilon^q(t)]\mathrm{d}t}{\zeta|U|^{1/q}}\right)\frac{|U|^{1/q}}{2}\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_U \zeta[\xi^q - v_\epsilon^q(t)]\mathrm{d}t + \frac{3}{4}\,\xi\,\zeta\,|U|^{1/q} \qquad (4.13)
\end{aligned}$$

(see (4.3) and the proof of [5, Lemma 4.6] for details).

Next, let $\mathbf{K} = \{n\colon \text{there exists } j \text{ such that } I_{2n-1}\cup I_{2n} \subset U_j\}$ (see [5, Lemma 2.3] for details). Then $\sharp\mathbf{K} \geq N/2 - 1 - 2m_\eta$ and we obtain by (4.2), (4.3), (4.5) and (4.13)

$$\begin{aligned}
[N(M,\varepsilon)/2 - N(1/M,\varepsilon)/2 - 2m_\eta]\varepsilon^q &\leq \sum_{n\in\mathbf{K}} K^q(I_{2n-1}\cup I_{2n})\\
\ll \sum_{n\in\mathbf{K}} \|H_{I_{2n-1}\cup I_{2n},v,w}\|_{1\to q}^q &\leq 3^{q-1}\sum_{n\in\mathbf{K}}\big[\|H_{I_{2n-1}\cup I_{2n},\xi,\zeta}\|_{1\to q}^q +\\
&\qquad \big|\|H_{I_{2n-1}\cup I_{2n},v_\epsilon,w}\|_{1\to q} - \|H_{I_{2n-1}\cup I_{2n},v_\epsilon,\zeta}\|_{1\to q}\big|^q\\
&\qquad + \big|\|H_{I_{2n-1}\cup I_{2n},\xi,\zeta}\|_{1\to q} - \|H_{I_{2n-1}\cup I_{2n},v_\epsilon,\zeta}\|_{1\to q}\big|^q\big]\\
\ll \sum_{j=1}^{m_\eta}\xi_j^q\,\zeta_j^q\,|U_j| + \sum_{j=1}^{m_\eta}&\left[\|w-\zeta\|_{q,U_j}^q\|v_\epsilon\|_{\infty,U_j}^q + \left(\int_{U_j}\zeta[\xi^q - v_\epsilon^q(t)]\mathrm{d}t\right)^q\right]\\
&\leq \int_{1/M}^{M} v_\eta^q(t)w_\eta^q(t)\mathrm{d}t + O(\eta^q).
\end{aligned}$$

With help of (4.10) and (4.11) this gives

$$[N(M,\varepsilon)/2 - N(1/M,\varepsilon)/2 - 2m_\eta]\varepsilon^q \ll \int_{1/M}^{M} v_\epsilon^q(t)w^q(t)\mathrm{d}t + O(\eta^q).$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\varepsilon^q\big[N(M,\varepsilon) - N(1/M,\varepsilon)\big] \ll \int_{1/M}^{M} v_\epsilon^q(t)w^q(t)\mathrm{d}t + O(\eta^q).$$

Further, similar to the proof of the part **(i)** we obtain

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\varepsilon^q N(\infty,\varepsilon) \ll \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v_\epsilon^q(t)w^q(t)\mathrm{d}t.$$

Finally, we have by the inequality $a_{N+1}(\mathscr{L}) \le \varepsilon$ from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} N^{1/q} a_N(\mathscr{L}) \ll \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} v_\epsilon^q(t) w^q(t) \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/q}.$$

$\square$

References

[1] C. Bennett, R. Sharpley, Interpolation of operators. Pure Appl. Math. 129, Academic Press, New York, 1988.

[2] M.S. Birman, M.Z. Solomjak, Estimates for the singular numbers of integral operators, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, (1) **32** (1977) 17-82 (in Russian); English trans.: *Russian Math. Surveys*, (1) **32** (1977) 15-89.

[3] D.E. Edmunds, W.D. Evans, D.J. Harris, Approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators, *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2) **37** (1988) 471-489.

[4] D.E. Edmunds, W.D. Evans, D.J. Harris, Two-sided estimates for the approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators, *Stud. Math.*, (1) **124** (1997) 59-80.

[5] W.D. Evans, D.J. Harris, J. Lang, Two-sided estimates for the approximation numbers of Hardy-type operators in L^∞ and L^1, *Stud. Math.*, (2) **130** (1998) 171-192.

[6] D.E. Edmunds, V.D. Stepanov, The measure of non-compactness and approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators, *Math. Ann.*, **298** (1994) 41-66.

[7] M.L. Goldman, H.P. Heinig, V.D. Stepanov, On the principle of duality in Lorentz spaces, *Can. J. Math.* **48** (1996) 959–979.

[8] M.A. Lifshits, W. Linde, Approximation and entropy numbers of Volterra operators with Applications to Brownian motion, *Mem. Amer. Math Soc.*, (745) **157** (2002) viii+87 pp.

[9] E.N. Lomakina, Estimates for the approximation numbers of one class of integral operators I, *Sib. Math. J.*, (1) **44** (2003) 147-159.

[10] E.N. Lomakina, Estimates for the approximation numbers of one class of integral operators II, *Sib. Math. J.*, (2) **44** (2003) 298-310.

[11] E.N. Lomakina, V.D. Stepanov, On asymptotic behaviour of the approximation numbers and estimates of Schatten-von Neumann norms of the Hardy-type integral operators, Function spaces and applications (Delhi, 1997), 153–187, Narosa, New Delhi, 2000.

[12] E.N. Lomakina, V.D. Stepanov, Asymptotic estimates for the approximation and entropy numbers of a one-weight Riemann-Liouville operator, *Siberian Adv. Math.*, (1) **17** (2007) 1–36.

[13] A. Pietsch, Eigenvalues and s-numbers. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 13. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987. 360 pp.

[14] V.D. Stepanov, On the lower bounds fro Schatten-von Neumann norms of certain Volterra integral operators, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **61**(2000), 905-922.

[15] V.D. Stepanov, E.P. Ushakova, Weighted estimates for the integral operators with monotone kernels on a half-axis, *Sib. Math. J.*, **45**(2004), 1124-1134.

[16] E.P. Ushakova, On singular numbers of generalized Stieltjes transformation, *Doklady Mathematics*, **81**(2010), 214-215.

[17] E.P. Ushakova, Estimates for the singular values of transformations of Stieltjes type, *Siber. Math. J.*, (1)**52**(2010), 159-166.

[18] E.P. Ushakova, On compactness of Laplace and Stieltjes type transformations in Lebesgue spaces, submitted.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK.
E-mail address: `elena.ushakova@york.ac.uk`

Computing Centre of the Far-Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Khabarovsk, 680000, RUSSIA.
E-mail address: `elenau@inbox.ru`